UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,901	01/26/2004	Michael D. Hillman	29498/30004A	2723
10/764,901 01/26/2004 Michael D. Hillman 29498/30004A 4743 7590 05/24/2007 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP	INER			
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3634	
			p	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/764,901	HILLMAN ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Blair M. Johnson	3634			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. poly be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/1	<u>10/07;5/7/07</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 19 and 73-88 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19 and 73-88 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Dobjected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	·				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,901

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19 and 73-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wang '154.

The "selective variable force" is met by the engaged position (teeth 512 engaged with teeth 322A) and a released position (teeth unengaged).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 73-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang '154 in view of Coslett '867.

Wang discloses everything except the frictional brake. Wang discloses a positive stop system that uses teeth. However, Coslett is analogous and he uses a frictional brake system, as seen in Fig. 22, wherein brake pad 72 engages spool 30 by way of biasing means 74, which may be selectively released. Such a teaching of a friction brake instead of a positive brake would provide ample suggestion to modify Wang to

Art Unit: 3634

have such a friction brake which would prevent damage to the shade by releasing the cords under excessive force.

Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al '154 in view of Coslett, as applied above, and further in view of Biro et al.

Providing separate grooves for each cord on a cord storage spool is well known, as illustrated by Biro et al. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to provide one spool with separate grooves so as to reduce the number of spring motors and spools needed as well as to keep the cords from becoming entangled.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. However, Coslett is now used to provide a teaching of a frictional brake. Adequate motivation to modify Wang in view of Coslett is given above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Blair M. Johrson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 5/19/07